

## LUNITED STATES PATENTAND FRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Patent and Trademark Office:
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,167		02/28/2002	Tomohiro Suzuki	03500.016229	4830	
5514	7590	10/08/2003		EXAMINER		
FITZPAT		ELLA HARPER	LILLING, HERBERT J			
NEW YOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					1651	

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/084,167	SUZUKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	HERBERT J LILLING	1651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  If from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	<u>une 2002</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa							
closed in accordance with the practice under Insposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents		cation No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		eived.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language products 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/084,167

Art Unit: 1651

- Receipt is acknowledged of the prior art information statement filed June
   13, 2002.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are present in this instant application.
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.121:
  - I. Claims 1, 3, 4, drawn to a polyhydroxyalkanoate type polyester having chemical formulas 1 or 3, classified in class 525, subclass one plus
  - II. Claim 2, drawn to a polyhydroxyalkanoate type polyester containing a mixture of units as represented by formulas 2 and 1, classified in class 525, subclass one plus.
  - III. Claims 5-7, 18-20, and 8-17 (containing an additional ingredient in the culture medium), drawn to a method of producing a polyester by using a microorganism on the monomeric unit as represented by formula 1, classified in Class 435, subclass 135.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

  Invention I does not require the specifics of Invention II. Invention II structure is patentably distinct from that of Invention I.

Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the

Application/Control Number: 10/084,167

Art Unit: 1651

process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be prepared by another and materially different process of preparing the product, e.g. by organic synthesis.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Whereby the culturing of the microorganism takes place in the presence:

- a. no additional specified source;
- b. polypeptone;
- c. yeast extract;
- d. organic acid or its salt;

if elected further requirement:

da) an election of one of the acids or salts of claim 12;

or

- db) mixtures the acids or salts of claim 12;
- e. amino acid <u>or</u> its salt

if elected further requirement

ea) an election of one of the amino acids or salts of claim 14;

or

ef) an election of mixtures of the amino acids or salts of claim 14;

f. carbohydrate of claim 15,
 if elected there is further election of one selected from the group as noted
 by claim 16;

g. straight chain alkanoic acid having 4-12 carbon atoms or its salt.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 6 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 1651

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 5

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and the search required for one invention is not required for the other invention, thusly the restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention. Inventions I, II or III and an election of the species as noted above (if appropriate to the elected invention) to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## 8. Rejoinder form paragraph

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented

Art Unit: 1651

prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** 

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 9. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is** (703) 308-2034 and **Fax Number** is for applications **Before Final** (703) 872-9306 and **After Final** for applications is 703-872-9307 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is (703) 308-4743. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (703) 308-2034 Art Unit <u>1651</u> October 07, 2003

> Dr. Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner

Group 1600 Art Unit 1651